

FOR DISCUSSION

Count	Aquifer Exemption (Approved and Still Under Process)		Exemption Criteria	Status as of Thursday, August 19, 2021	Comments
1	Round Mountain	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017 (Revised: 07/02/2018)
2	Fruitvale	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017 (Revised: 07/02/2018)
3	Tejon	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017
4	Mount Poso	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 04/17/2017 (Revised: 10/10/2018)
5	Jasmin	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2017
6	Kern Front (Vedder)	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 08/30/2018
7	Elk HillsPhase 1	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 03/29/2018
8	Elk Hills Phase 2	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 03/29/2018
9	Poso Creek	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 05/04/2018
10	Kern Front (Chanac)	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 08/30/2017
11	Cymric	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2018
12	McKittrick	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2018
13	San Ardo/McCool Ranch	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 11/21/2018
14	Arroyo Grande	24		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 4/30/2019.
15	Edison Phase 1	20		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/15/2019.
16	Lost Hills 1	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/21/2019.
17	North Belridge	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 6/7/2019.
18	Midway-Sunset (Deeper Formations)	20	(a), (c)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/31/2019.
19	Edison - Phase 2	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 7/1/2019.
20	South Belridge - Western Area	18	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 3/13/2020.
21	Coalinga/Jacalitos		(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 3/8/2021.
22	Lynch Canyon - Lanigan	18	(a), (b)(1)	Submitted to EPA - DOGGR responding to EPA questions	11/12/21 - Recieved direction from US EPA on final map updates. District to share updated files with USEPA and SWB by 11/19/21 12/14/21 District is compiling final documents and updates. 01/18/22 - Operator contractor is back from vacation and updating Shapefiles. 03/14/22 - District will share final documents with CalGEM HQ and SWB by 03/21/22. 04/18/22 - District updated the final documents and will share with CalGEM HQ and SWB by 04/25/22. 05/13/22 - Updated information requested by US EPA shared with SWB. 06/13/22 - District to meet with SWB to discuss outstanding data package. 07/18/22 - District met with SWB and will now compile entire data package. CalGEM will meet with US EPA prior to submitting. 08/16/22 - Shared the responses with US EPA for an informal review. Once the responses are reviewed, District will have Uduak sign the "Uduak Letter" 09/09/22 - Engineer is finalizing the updates to US EPA review. Will meet with US EPA next week with an update. 10/14/22 - District met with USEPA to discuss final comments. 11/10/22 - Working with SWB to resolve GIS issues. 12/09/22 - Met with SWB on GIS ShapeFiles. Path forward created on how to document GIS issues of original AE boundary.
23	Sespe	18	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Final Concurrence Letter. District finalizing AE document for submission.	08/01/19 Final Transmittal letter at DOGGR HQ for signing. 10/29/19 Uploaded entire package for Agency review to Box.com 03/08/21 - Provided answers to HQ on package 06/09/21 - Verified operators in Sespe oilfield with HQ. 11/12/21 - Package shared with USEPA 12/13/21 - Comments received from US EPA. District will work with the operator to respond to comments. 02/11/22 - US EPA comments have been shared with the operator. District will be working with the operator closely with weekly updates. 03/14/22 - Operator has completed ~80% of the responses and plans to be complete by 03/21/22. District will review and share with CalGEM HQ and SWB by 03/21/22. 04/18/22 - Operator submitted completed responses to US EPA questions. District is adding additional data and reviewing package. Entire package will be submitted by 04/25/22. 05/13/22 - Working with new operator to get one last native file. 06/17/22 - Discussed package with District staff and will transmit data to SWB by end of week. 07/18/22 - Met with US EPA and SWB to discuss recent AE comments. 08/15/22 - Responses to be shared with SWB by end of the week. 09/09/22 - SWB has reviewed the responses and provided feedback. District to review and meet with US EPA on responses. 11/10/22 - Worked with operator to update responses to US EPA comments. Also recieved additional information from City of Fillmore and SWB on well locations. 12/09/22 - District updating responses to US EPA comments. Will meet with US EPA prior to resubmitting.
24	Cat Canyon	7	(a), (b)(1)	Public hearing complete, comments answered and reviewed.	05/13/22 - Non-active operator data completed and sent to SWB and RWQCB for review. District is now reviewing operator resubmitted data. 06/16/22 - Data package for CRC wells was shared with SWB and RWQCB for review. District is in close contact with operators to finalize remaining data. 07/18/22 - Final data packages from 3 operators expected within 1-2 weeks. The final operator (Cat Canyon Resources) has communicated it may take an additional week to complete. 08/15/22 - Updated package received from B.E. Conway and Teams. District will be performing final review of those two packages and share with SWB and RWQCB by 08/22/22. 09/09/22 - Conduit Analysis packages recieved from B.E. Conway, Vaquero and Cat Canyon Resources. Engineers are currently reviewing. District anticipates final review by 09/22/22. 10/13/22 - Data packages for B.E. Conway and Team Operating complete. District is finalizing their review. Vaquero still has outstanding data items to be reviewed. 11/10/22 - Data packages for B.E. Conway, Team Operating, and Vaquero to be transmitted to SWB on 11/14/22. Sent comments back to CCR and started working on final review. 12/09/22 - Met with SWB to discuss the conduit analysis data and path forward. Data package for non-active operators updated with additional wells.

25	Oxnard	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter. Preparing for public hearing.	<p>01/21/20 District is working on completing public comment period documents and setting up a public comment hearing.</p> <p>02/06/20 District is working on completing an enhanced water well survey.</p> <p>04/02/20 District has shared preliminary State responses to US EPA questions with SWB and is working with operators to complete responses.</p> <p>09/09/20 Division Engineer has crafted a first draft of public documents. Division management is reviewing</p> <p>05/13/21 - Working with CalGEM management and SWB to determine path forward with conduit analysis.</p> <p>05/14/21 - Meeting with OLRA, PAO, DOC Public Outreach Coordinator, and District representatives to plan virtual public hearing.</p> <p>07/07/21 - Potential dates ready to discuss with CalGEM/SWB/US EPA management.</p> <p>08/13/21 - Public comment period postponed to October</p> <p>12/13/21 - Well list and documents have been shared with the operators for the conduit analysis.</p> <p>02/11/22 - District engineer is reviewing wells not associated with active operators in prepartion of conduit analysis.</p> <p>03/14/22 - Operator has supplied 10 of 60 WBDs and the District has begun review of non-Active operator wells. A total of 11 wells have been reviewed and proposed AE is approved.</p> <p>04/14/22 - CalGEM HQ completed and signed "Notice to Suspend Injection" for steam injection project. District engineer reassigned to Cat Canyon corridor.</p>
26	Holser	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter. Preparing for public hearing.	<p>02/11/22 - District recieved comments from SWB on public comment period documents. Updates to documents to be completed by District.</p> <p>03/14/22 - Public comment period is open. Hearing set for March 29.</p> <p>03/29/22 - Public hearing conducted.</p> <p>04/13/22 - Public comment period closed. OLRA to compile all comments and begin answering common comments along with DOC Legal.</p> <p>05/13/22 - A total of 28 comments recieved.</p> <p>06/13/22 - DOC Legal will take a first look at all public comments and will share any technical comments with the district to answer.</p> <p>07/18/22 - District has recieved the comments from DOC Legal and will work to respond to technical comments. CalGEM HQ UIC and SWB will also be reviewing comments.</p> <p>08/15/22 - Response to public comments have been shared with SWB for review.</p> <p>09/09/22 - SWB has reviewed responses to public comment and provided feedback. District working with Legal to finalize responses.</p> <p>10/13/22 - SWB drafting final concurrence letter. CalGEM will work with SWB to ensure final copy of responses to public comment are approved.</p> <p>11/10/22 - SWB has a draft final concurrence letter. Responses to public comment finalized.</p> <p>12/09/22 - Final concurrence letter signed by SWB. HQ working with the Natural Resources Agency for approval to submit to US EPA.</p>
27	Lompoc	4	(a), (b)(1)	District finalizing AE document after WB review and comments. Preliminary Concurrence expected June 2021.	<p>01/18/22 - Operator has been working on updating AE package from US EPA informal review. District to work on public comment documents and add comments.</p> <p>02/11/22 - District finalizing public comment documents and will share with CalGEM HQ and SWB week of Feb 14.</p> <p>03/14/22 - Public comment period started March 11 and the hearing is set for April 12.</p> <p>04/12/22 - Public hearing conducted. Public comment period ends on April 27.</p> <p>05/13/22 - A total of 8 comments received. OLRA and Legal to compile all comments and begin answering common comments.</p> <p>06/13/22 - DOC Legal will take a first look at all public comments and will share any technical comments with the district to answer.</p> <p>07/18/22 - District has recieved the comments from DOC Legal and will work to respond to technical comments. CalGEM HQ UIC and SWB will also be reviewing comments.</p> <p>08/15/22 - Response to public comments have been completed by District. Those responses will be shared with DOC Legal on 08/16/22 and then to SWB.</p> <p>09/09/22 - Responses to public comments have been shared with DOC Legal and will soon be shared with SWB for review.</p> <p>10/13/14 - District finalizing a response to public comment with DOC Legal. HQ UIC to review after that prior to sharing with SWB.</p> <p>11/10/22 - Responses to public comment shared with SWB.</p> <p>12/09/22 - Responses to public comment finalized. SWB to draft a final concurrence letter and work with management for signing.</p>
28	Midway-Sunset II (Tulare)	4	(a),(b) (1)	SWB define/discuss issues with Tulare B & L. Tulare at Operator meeting. Preliminary Concurrence expected May 2023.	<p>Ongoing discussions to finalize exemption criteria [(b)(1) or (c)]. Target Preliminary Concurrence from WBs on June 2019.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Meeting scheduled with WZI for 5/14/2019.</p> <p>5/31/2019: (AVZ) Inland responds to questions/comments submitted to DOGGR from SWB.</p> <p>6/10/2019: WB is reviewing the comments.</p> <p>9/16/2019: (WB-JZ) Arranging meeting w/ DOGGR to discuss AE boundary related to (b)(1) condition.</p> <p>10/30/2019: (SWB) Held meeting to define/discuss issues with Tulare B & Lower Tulare that need to be addressed for Preliminary Concurrence to be granted.</p> <p>11/20/2019 (AVZ): WB responded that they are not in concurrence with the current boundary because of the presence of commercial hydrocarbon. WB is reviewing comments.</p> <p>1/20/2020 (AVZ): Operators met with CalGEM to discuss the proposed (lower and upper)Tulare boundary.</p> <p>02/5/2020 (AVZ): CalGEM updated WB on discussions with the Operators.</p> <p>02/19/2020 (AVZ): Operators are seeking a follow-up meeting on 02/25/2020.</p> <p>04/01/2020 (AVZ): Reviewing maps submitted by the operators.</p> <p>05/07/2020 (AVZ): Operators are correcting maps.</p> <p>06/23/2020:(AVZ): Operators presented proposed revised draft maps to WB and CalGEM.</p> <p>08/17/2020 (AVZ): Waiting on Operators to submit revised maps and data.</p> <p>10/12/2020 (AVZ): Operator communicated that the revised application will be submitted soon.</p> <p>10/13/2020 (AVZ): Operator submitted revised AE application.Under review at CalGEM.</p> <p>11/13/2020 (AVZ): Revised application submitted to WB/HQ.</p> <p>12/16/2020 (AVZ): Received comments from WB.</p> <p>01/12/2021 (AVZ): Received response and comments from Operator. Under review at CalGEM.</p> <p>01/29/2021 (AVZ): Meeting with Operators to discuss missing information and clarification.</p> <p>02/16/20121 (AVZ): Operators updating application.</p> <p>03/02/2021 (AVZ): CalGEM received an updated application, and it is under review at the Inland District before sending to HQ and WB.</p> <p>04/6/2021 (AVZ): Comments to the updated application submitted to WB.</p> <p>06/07/2021 (AVZ): Operators responded to WB questions.</p> <p>11/10/2021 (AVZ): Conduit analysis discussions with Operators scheduled on 11/17/2021.</p> <p>12/9/2021 (AVZ): Operators continue to work on conduit analysis for 116 wells.</p> <p>1/11/2022 (AVZ): Operator submitted 31 wells conduit analysis, and CalGEM is reviewing.</p> <p>2/2/2022 (AVZ): CalGEM met with the WB to discuss the identification of the beneficial use of water.</p>

29	Kern River	1	(a), (b)(1)	<p>DOGGR recieved draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Operator updating application. Preliminary Concurrence expected Nov 2023.</p>	<p>Revised capture radius (make use of updated water demand data on some water wells) memo sent to WB 3/25/19. 4/04/2019: (XX) Water Board received and reviewing Kern River AE Water Well Capture Radius Analysis. 5/13/2019: WB still 1-2 weeks out from draft letter 5/29/2019: (MVG) Inland send Memo to SWB regarding Supplemental maps to March 22, 2019 Water Well Capture Analysis memo. 6/10 WB is working through draft concurrence letter still working towards June 2019. 6/12/2019: (EG w/SWB Legal) DOGGR recieves draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Under review by DOGGR legal. 7/22/2019: (DOGGR Legal) State Water Board is concurring with the proposed exempted area below the C-Silt. The updated draft is a partial concurrence. 8/28/2019: (MVG) Chevron has completed revision of App.to only extend up to the C-Silt and not above. 9/8/2019: (MVG) Organizing a review of revised App. w CVX/WB/DOGGR. 9/17/2019: (MVG) DOGGR/CVX/WB met to discuss aspects of revised app. Will make changes as required for WB Review. 10/16/2019: (MVG) DOGGR sends to WB app. docs & app. wording describing "Top proposed Exemption" and CVX is modifying application accordingly. 10/20/2019 :(MVG) Held meeting (11/18/19) with WB, Inland, HQ and CVX on proposed exempt area. CVX group taken the information for their internal review. 12/13/2019: (MVG) Held meeting with WB to convey operator agreement to the proposed boundary by WB, water well survey revised to reflect 1017 02/5/2020: Waiting on revised application from Chevron. 02/19/2020:(MVG) Received the shapefiles from Chevron. Under review by CalGEM. 03/10/2020:(MVG) Operator preparing revised application. Proposed draft map by CalGEM with location of the water wells shared with WB. 04/01/2020:(MVG) Operator technical team met with CalGEM team to discuss deliverables. 05/20/2020:(MVG: Operator contacted CalGEM for clarification. 07/31/2020:(MVG):CalGEM received revised application from Operator. 10/07/2020:(MVG): Application submitted to HQ and WB for review. Presentation to WB/HQ on 10/15/2020. 11/23/2020:(MVG): CalGEM submitted additional documents required to update the application to Chevron after discussion with WB. 12/7/2020: (MVG): Received comments from WB. 1/13/2021: (MVG): Chevron presented the reply to the CalGEM and WB comments. Final document expected in next two weeks. 1/25/2021: (MVG): Operator technical response forwarded to HQ /WB. 2/8/2021: (MVG): Met with Operator to discuss HQ comments. 3/1/2021:(MVG): Met with WB and Legal for clarification of Chanac being included in the application and other technical questions related to Chanac. 03/15/2021:(MVG): Received comments from WB . Operator working on the response. 4/27/2021:(MVG) Received supplemental data for WB questions.</p>
30	Mt. Poso (Dorsey Area)		(a), (b)(1)	<p>Preliminary Concurrence expected March 2022.</p>	<p>Received AE document 4/2/19 but needed revisions. Sent back 4/11/19. No Change-Waiting for official application. 5/16/2019 (WM) DOGGR received application from EnviroTech, returned to operator for further edits. 8/12/2019: (WM) EnviroTech submitted revised app#2 to DOGGR on July 18, 2019. DOGGR almost finished reviewing application. Demonstration of h Working with Operator on App Edits. 11/01/2019: (CC) District reponses to Jason M. & Bill B. Inquiry. (WTL) establish Operator/DOGGR meeting for Nov 18th to discuss application status a 11/20/2019: (WM) Met with consultant 11/15/19 to communicate the urgency to submit documents required to show hydrocarbon distribution on pr prior to a follow up meeting with Operator. 12/04/2019: (WM) Working with consultant on what additional data, figures, graphs, and diagrams are needed to satisfy criteria for proposed exempt consultant on expected timeline of additionl analysis that is needed. 1/21/2020:(WM) A key well(API :029-12043 Signal Bishop 22-1) with significant hydrocarbon show located outside the AE boundary was identified by o team met consultant on 1/10/2020 to discussed urgency in updating new maps, figures, text etc. 02/19/2020: (WM): Consultant working on the project conveyed last week that, they will be submitting the application. As of today,no application rec 03/02/2020:(WM) Received updated application. Currently under review by CalGEM. Scheduling meeting with WB to present the application. 04/01/2020:(WM): Received application from Operator. Setting up meeting with WB on 04/07/2020 to brief. 04/07/2020:(WM):Presented overview of the application to WB . Waiting on the corrections and forward to WB. 05/07/2020:(WB): Received feedback from HQ. Working on the corrections before forwarding to WB. 05/20/2020:(WM: Application submitted to WB for review on 5/12/2020. 07/01/2020:(WM): Planned review by WB and CalGEM scheduled on 7/6/2020. 07/06/2020:(WM): Preliminary review with WB and CalGEM completed. 08/07/2020: (WM): Received comments and questions from State and Central Valley WB. 11/17/2020:(WM): CalGEM met with Operators to discuss delivery of the revised application. 12/31/2020: (WM):Received revised documentation from Operator . Under review at CalGEM. 1/21/2021: (WM): Communicated to Operator on missing data and information. Awaiting response from Operator. 03/03/2021:(WM): Received updated application and response to WB/CalGEM question. Under review at Inland. 03/17/2021:(WM) : Updated application submitted to HQ and WB. 03/29/2021:(WM): Correction to the updated application submitted to WB/HQ. 6/07/2021:(WM): EPA/WB/CalGEM meet to determine and confirmed the application to be standalone. Minutes of the meeting distributed.</p>
31	Lynch Canyon - Santa Margarita	0		<p>No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection</p>	<p>For phase-out. Met with operator at SWB 10/25/18. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining b 6/13/2019 Operator met with DOGGR and WB and received approval on proposed wells to convert from Lanigan to D sands for collection of water sa 10/17/19 Coastal will be sending a letter requiring the phase out of injection with a reasonable timeline and workplan to acheive compliance. 11/13/19 Letter to terminate injection has been sent to Eagle Petroleum. 02/06/20 A letter to respond to the operator's response to November letter has been drafted and reviewed by SWB. The letter has been submitted to 2/19/2020 Letter sent to operator with details of deliverables and deadlines for water sampling, phase out plan, and alternative contingency plan 3/18/2020 Alternative Plan due date extension granted at operators request 04/02/20 Operator has requested an extension for 90-days to all request due to low oil prices and COVID-19 issues. 05/07/20 Updated letter to Eagle drafted and shared with CalGEM Legal 05/18/20 Letter for extension to plan submission and cessation of injection sent to Eagle. All production is currently shut-in. Injection to cease some t 08/11/20 - Letter sent to operator formalizing the ceasing of all injection into the Santa Margarita formation.</p>
32	South Belridge - SE Area	0		<p>No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection</p>	<p>For phase-out. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining basis for decision and steps needed for for CEQA discussions on phase-out and re-piping/facilities plans. 3/12/2020 Phase Out Plan joint approval letter sent to operator 5/13/2021 (CJ) Transition UIC application: Inland District received on 5/10/2021 the Water Boards' comments on Aera's proposed Risk and Mitigation to provide responses to Water Board comments along with Civil Engineering Stamps by June 11th. CalGEM will add our responses to the comments di 7/7/2021: Both Aera and Inland has submitted responses to the latest Waterboard question on the proposed Monitoring Program. Aera met with Cal 8/11/2021 (CJ): The Water Board has indicated they will complete their review within about two weeks. CalGEM Inland District is making some minor Board issued a letter of no objection on August 19, 2021. The PAL was issued on August 25, 2021. 10/15/2021 1. located the surface locations for the 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells and 7 Pressure observation (POW) wells based on the approved Moni 2. preparing drilling plans for the GW and POW wells (The latest Kern CEQA permitting delay may impact the drilling of the POW wells in 2022.) 3. working on bidding for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment 4. All biological and environmental surveys for the proposed injection well pads have been completed. new project timeline. Following are additonal updates from Aera: 2. Aera also has permits to drill the observation wells. 3. Aera is preparing to submit NOIs to drill the disposal wells, but will discuss CEQA review process with CalGEM. being drafted for 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells 2. Submitted NOIs with CalGEM as lead CEQA agency for 7pPressure observation (POW) wells (hoping for approval soon to get well on the drilling sche 3. Bid request sent out for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment 4. Full Project Funding is secured 1/13/2022 (CJ) - Following is a list of recent activity by Aera: 2. Short-listed two drilling contractors for drilling the 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells. 3. Bids for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment are being reviewed – contracts to be awarded in a few months 4. Facility construction bid package to be send out in a few weeks 5. NOIs' for all 75 injection wells will be submitted to CalGEM by early next month 2/11/2022 (CJ): Following is a list of recent activity - pressure observation wells so Aera can get them on the drilling schedule. The timing of the permits will impact the project schedule. 2. Aera is reviewing proposals from two drilling contractors for the 8 groundwater monitoring wells. 3. Some contracts for major pumping, piping, and filter equipment have been awarded and rest will be done in few months. 4. Facility construction bid package has been sent out.</p>

Count	Additional Aquifer Exemptions		Exemption Criteria CFR 146.4	Status as of Thursday, August 20, 2020
1	Livermore		(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA-DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures
2	Casmalia		(a), (b)(1)	01/21/20 The 3rd party contractor is completing the application
3	Deer Creek		(a), (b)(1)	District responded to WB questions 1/28/19. Concerns on
4	Round Mountain (South Area)		(a), (b) (1)	Submitted package to WB for review 3/7/19.
5	Northeast Edison		a) b) 1	On pause from operator due to other priority. 4/24/2019. (WM)
6	Lost Hills BPhase 2		(a), (b)(1)	Updated AE document not yet received. No Change-Waiting for
7	Kern Bluff			AE document (from Citadel E&P) not yet received No Change-
8	Blackwell's Corner		a) b)1 or c)	AE document (from E&B Natural Resources) not yet received.
9	North Antelope Hills		a) b)1	7/9/2020 (WM): Received AE application. Under review.
				2/12/2021 (WM): Operator is taking a water sample for testing.
				10/6/2021 (WM): CalGEM received an updated AE application on
				October 6, 2021, with several revisions from previous versions.
10	Placerita			CalGEM will request the operator/consultant to present an
11	Lynch Canyon - D Sands		a) c)	Sample from 2014 is over 10,000 ppm TDS. New sample to come
				01/18/22 - Formation sample for D-Sands received from

Cell: I30

Comment: [Threaded comment]

Your version of Excel allows you to read this threaded comment; however, any edits to it will get removed if the file is opened in a newer version of Excel. Learn more: <https://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?linkid=870924>

Comment:

Preliminary concurrence 7/27/2021 per SWB website.